# Unlocking Excellence: Transforming Faculty Evaluations Through Equity-Minded Principles

Marin Gillis, PhD, LPh, Gretchen Keys, EdD, & Judy Hanrahan, JD, MA



## Background

Academic medicine has vowed to recruit a body of medical students & faculty who are representative of our nation, aiming to right past wrongs & to address health disparities. Changing the culture & practice of medicine requires deliberate design of the structures of academic medicine especially toward retention of URiM faculty. 1,2,3 We propose a reevaluation & transformation of faculty evaluation & promotion systems using the lenses of design thinking & equity.

# Purpose & Design



Highlight the transformative impact of deliberately designed faculty evaluations using equity-minded principles.



Rethink evaluation metrics & promotion criteria to encourage commitment to institutional values & to encompass the reality of faculty contributions in academic medicine.



Design an annual evaluation process to reduce faculty administrative load, facilitate department chair mentoring for advancement, & promote institutional values.



Demonstrate how the implementation of REDCap (Research Electronic Data Capture) as a data collection & management tool streamlined & facilitated the faculty evaluation process, enhancing efficiency, transparency, & equity.

## Methods & Instrument Design

#### **EQUITABLE PRINCIPLES**

Identified equitable principles to guide the instrument development process & the final instrument.<sup>4</sup>

Transparency Clarity Accountability Consistency Context Credit Flexibility Agency & Representation

**PILOT TESTING** 

Piloted categories &

data points using a

Revised categories

Piloted data collection

word document.

& data points &

built in REDCap.



### GUIDELINE DEVELOPMENT

A literature review & focus groups of faculty & administrators were conducted to identify strengths & weaknesses of faculty evaluation at other institutions. The following guidelines emerged from this process:



Capture all roles & work especially marginalized & feminized faculty work.<sup>1,4</sup>



- Reduce administrative burden.



Ensure instrument & process are developmental for faculty.<sup>2,6</sup>



Prompt department chairs to mentor junior faculty.



Collect multi-use data.

### STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

Partnered with faculty, admin, & diversity officers throughout the process using:

- Focus Groups
- Training Sessions
- One-on-one Office Hours
- Surveys

### **ITERATION**

in REDCap.

Solicited feedback during design & implementation. Used feedback to

improve instrument & process.

# COMMUNICATION

Shared improvements with stakeholders & sought continued feedback.

### References

- 1. Campbell KM, Hudson BD, Tumin D. Releasing the net to promote minority faculty success in academic medicine. J Racial Ethn Health Disparities. 2020;7(2):202-206. doi:10.1007/s40615-020-00703-z
- 2. Carvajal DN, Reid LD, Zambrana RE. URiMs and imposter syndrome: symptoms of inhospitable work environments?. Fam Med. 2023;55(7):433–451. doi:10.22454/FamMed.2023.376821
- 3. Kaplan SE, Raj A, Carr PL, Terrin N, Breeze JL, Freund KM. Race/ethnicity and success in academic medicine: findings from a longitudinal multiinstitutional study. *Acad Med*. 2018;93(4):616–622. doi:10.1097/ACM.00000000001968
- 4.0'Meara K, Templeton L. Equity-minded reform of faculty evaluation: a call to action. American Council on Education; 2022. Accessed December 19, 2023. https://www.acenet.edu/Documents/Equity-Minded-Faculty-Evaluation-Principles.pdf
- 5. Schaechter JD, Goldstein R, Zafonte RD, Silver JK. Workplace belonging of women healthcare professionals relates to likelihood of leaving. J Healthc Leadersh. 2023;15:273-284. doi:10.2147/JHL.S431157
- 6. Daley S, Wingard DL, Reznik V. Improving the retention of underrepresented minority faculty in academic medicine. J Natl Med Assoc. 2006;98(9):1435–1440.

# Post Pilot Survey Results

How would you rate the overall ease of the Faculty Annual Review process in REDCap?\*

	Min	Max	Mean	StDev	Sum	Percentile						
N						0.05	0.1	0.25	0.5 (Median)	0.75	0.9	9.5
8	6	10	8.63	1.51	69	6.35	6.7	7.75	9	10	10	10

The Office of Faculty Affairs & Learning Innovation held a number of sessions to prepare faculty for the annual review process including (list of sessions). How satisfied are you with the available support?\*

					_	Percentile 0.05 0.1 0.25 0.5 0.75 0.9 9.5						
N	Min	Max	Mean	StDev	Sum	0.05	0.1	0.25	0.5	0.75	0.9	9.5
									(Median)			
8	7	10	8.75	1.39	70	7	7	7.75	9	10	10	10

Overall, do you feel confident in your ability to complete the Annual Review process in REDCap next year?#

N	Min	Max	Mean	StDev	Sum	Percentile						
						0.05	0.1	0.25	0.5 (Median)	0.75	0.9	9.5
7	7	10	9.14	1.21	64	7.3	7.6	8.5	10	10	10	10

\*Scale: 0 Extremely Dissatisfied - 10 Extremely Satisfied

**\*Scale**: 0 Extremely Unsure - 10 Extremeley Confident

### STRENGTHS

### Prepopulating for faculty

Working with diversity officers enabled us to foster an institutional data culture of data sharing & centralization which enabled prepopulationg of annual evaluations.

"The prepopulated areas were very convenient."

### Flexibility & design

REDCap provided the flexibility to design a customized instrument for academic medicine faculty where most faculty information systems [FIS] are designed for traditional university needs.

"REDCap is easier & more flexible [than other FIS]."

"I used Interfolio [&] found it confusing, complicated, & frustrating. REDCap is much simpler & easier to use."

"I have used three different FIS. This one is far far superior."

### Comprehensiveness

Comprehensive data collection provides an accurate & full view of faculty performance & contributions.

"It really helped to make sure we discussed similar items with all faculty & that we gave everyone the opportunity to showcase their accomplishments."

### WEAKNESSES

### Login difficulties

The REDCap instrument was designed as a survey. Each faculty member uses an individualized link & return code to access.

"...other platform was much easier to log into, not requiring a special number."

#### Administrative time to develop

Significant amount of administrator time was devoted to developing & pilot testing the instrument.